Microlensing: Theory, Practice, Results, Future Lecture 3

Nicholas James Rattenbury

JODRELL BANK CENTRE FOR ASTROPHYSICS THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

Microlensing: Theory, Practice, Results, Future - p.1/29

Lecture 1: Microlensing History and Theory

Lecture 1: Microlensing History and Theory

- Background
- Motivation/Goals
- Early results
- Evolution of a field
- Basic microlensing theory

- Lecture 1: Microlensing History and Theory
- Lecture 2: Beyond the Single lens

- Lecture 1: Microlensing History and Theory
- Lecture 2: Beyond the Single lens
 - Finite source star
 - Limb Darkening
 - Blending
 - Parallax
 - Xallarap

- Lecture 1: Microlensing History and Theory
- Lecture 2: Beyond the Single lens
- Lecture 3: Planetary Microlensing I

- Lecture 1: Microlensing History and Theory
- Lecture 2: Beyond the Single lens
- Lecture 3: Planetary Microlensing I
 - Binary lens microlensing
 - Extreme mass ratio microlensing
 - Theoretical tools of trade: caustics
 - Planetary microlensing regiemes
 - General rules

When the lens system is comprised of more than one object, strong departures from a smooth, symmetric single lens light curve is observed.



: Theory, Practice, Results, Future – p.3/29

The lightcurve shapes depend (mainly) on the binary mass ratio $q = \frac{m_1}{m_2}$, the binary separation a, and the binary axis orientation with respect to the source star track.

The lightcurve shapes depend (mainly) on the binary mass ratio $q = \frac{m_1}{m_2}$, the binary separation a, and the binary axis orientation with respect to the source star track.

In addition, of course, to the "single lens" parameters: u_{\min} , t_0 and t_E , and the flux parameters F_u and F_l .

The lightcurve shapes depend (mainly) on the binary mass ratio $q = \frac{m_1}{m_2}$, the binary separation a, and the binary axis orientation with respect to the source star track.

In addition, of course, to the "single lens" parameters: u_{\min} , t_0 and t_E , and the flux parameters F_u and F_l .

Other effects such as finite source star size, source star limb-darkening, parallax and/or xallarap may also be important.

The lens equation for n lens masses can be expressed as (Bozza 1999):

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} - \frac{m_1 \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{m_i (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i|^2}$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)$ denote position in the lens and source planes respectively.

The lens equation for n lens masses can be expressed as (Bozza 1999):

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} - \frac{m_1 \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{m_i (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i|^2}$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)$ denote position in the lens and source planes respectively.

The primary star, mass m_1 , is placed at the origin of the lens plane and \mathbf{x}_i is the position of the *i*th lens mass, m_i .

The lens equation for n lens masses can be expressed as (Bozza 1999):

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} - \frac{m_1 \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{m_i (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i|^2}$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)$ denote position in the lens and source planes respectively.

The locations of the other lens masses x_i are the projected positions onto the lens plane.

The lens equation for n lens masses can be expressed as (Bozza 1999):

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} - \frac{m_1 \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{m_i (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i|^2}$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)$ denote position in the lens and source planes respectively. Provided the scale of the lens system is much smaller than the distances from the lens system to the observer and source, the projected positions of the lens masses onto the lens plane can be used instead of preserving the volume mass distribution.

The lens equation for n lens masses can be expressed as (Bozza 1999):

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} - \frac{m_1 \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{m_i (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i|^2}$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)$ denote position in the lens and source planes respectively.

Given a position in the source plane, y, the values of x which satisfy Eq. 4 are the images in the lens plane of the source.

Recall that we want to solve for the images, as the ratio of image size to unlensed source size gives the amplification due to lensing (Lecture 1), Theory, Practice, Results, Future – p.4/29







• It is convenient to express 2D positions x and y as complex numbers

- It is convenient to express 2D positions x and y as complex numbers
- The lens equation can be solved analytically for $n \leq 3$ masses.

- It is convenient to express 2D positions x and y as complex numbers
- The lens equation can be solved analytically for $n \leq 3$ masses.
- This involves inverting the lens equation to get image positions x, as a function of source positions y.

- It is convenient to express 2D positions x and y as complex numbers
- The lens equation can be solved analytically for $n \leq 3$ masses.
- This involves inverting the lens equation to get image positions x, as a function of source positions y.
- Inverting the lens equation gets progressively trickier for higher *n*.

- It is convenient to express 2D positions x and y as complex numbers
- The lens equation can be solved analytically for $n \leq 3$ masses.
- This involves inverting the lens equation to get image positions x, as a function of source positions y.
- Inverting the lens equation gets progressively trickier for higher *n*.
- Inclusion of finite source star size becomes progressively harder.

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} - \frac{m_1 \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{m_i (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i|^2}$$

Non-linear behaviour of the lens equation can be predicted, e.g. let $x_i \rightarrow x$.

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} - \frac{m_1 \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{m_i (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i|^2}$$

Non-linear behaviour of the lens equation can be predicted, e.g. let $x_i \rightarrow x$.

This non-linear behaviour is the reason microlensing can be such a powerful tool for investigating the lens and source systems.

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} - \frac{m_1 \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{m_i (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i|^2}$$

Non-linear behaviour of the lens equation can be predicted, e.g. let $x_i \rightarrow x$.

This non-linear behaviour is the reason microlensing can be such a powerful tool for investigating the lens and source systems.

And can be occasionally difficult to model.

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} - \frac{m_1 \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{m_i (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i|^2}$$

Non-linear behaviour of the lens equation can be predicted, e.g. let $x_i \rightarrow x$.

This non-linear behaviour is the reason microlensing can be such a powerful tool for investigating the lens and source systems.

And can be occasionally difficult to model.

The non-linear effect of the lensing physics on microlensing lightcurves can be visualised.

The critical curves are defined as the set of points in the lens plane for which the Jacobian of the lens mapping vanishes:

$$\mathbf{x}_{critical} = \{\mathbf{x} : \det(J) = 0\}$$



The critical curves are defined as the set of points in the lens plane for which the Jacobian of the lens mapping vanishes:

$$\mathbf{x}_{critical} = \{ \mathbf{x} : \det(J) = 0 \} \qquad \qquad J = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

The caustic curves are the set of points in the source plane to which the critical curves are mapped via the lens equation (Mao 1991).

$$\mathbf{y}_{caustic} = \{\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x}_{critical})\}$$

where $f(\mathbf{x})$ is the lens equation.

The amplification of a source star by a lens system is given by:

$$A = \frac{1}{|\det(J)|}$$

The amplification of a source star by a lens system is given by:

$$A = \frac{1}{|\det(J)|}$$

From this expression, it is seen that the caustic curves are the loci of all positions of the source star for which the amplification becomes formally infinite.

The amplification of a source star by a lens system is given by:

$$A = \frac{1}{|\det(J)|}$$

From this expression, it is seen that the caustic curves are the loci of all positions of the source star for which the amplification becomes formally infinite. The "formally infinite" amplification just described does not account for the finite extent of the source star (Witt 1994), and does not apply the wave nature of optics.

The amplification of a source star by a lens system is given by:

$$A = \frac{1}{|\det(J)|}$$

From this expression, it is seen that the caustic curves are the loci of all positions of the source star for which the amplification becomes formally infinite. The "formally infinite" amplification just described does not account for the finite extent of the source star (Witt 1994), and does not apply the wave nature of optics.

Whilst not infinite, the nevertheless large and rapid peaks in the binary lens light curves correspond to the source star crossing one of these caustic lines. Microlensing: Theory, Pfactice, Results, Future - p.9/29

In the single lens case, the caustic line is a single point at the origin of the source plane.
In the single lens case, the caustic line is a single point at the origin of the source plane.

The amplification of the source star at this point is again, formally infinite, corresponding to to the situation where the source, lens and observer are collinear.

In the single lens case, the caustic line is a single point at the origin of the source plane.

The amplification of the source star at this point is again, formally infinite, corresponding to to the situation where the source, lens and observer are collinear.

Other strong deviations are obvious in the light curves, particularly when the source star passes close to a caustic line cusp.





Whenever the source crosses a caustic, a pair of images appears or vanishes depending on whether the source is moving into or out of a region bounded by caustic curves (Mao 1991).

Whenever the source crosses a caustic, a pair of images appears or vanishes depending on whether the source is moving into or out of a region bounded by caustic curves (Mao 1991).

When the source intersects a caustic line, two images merge at a critical curve, therefore the total number of images changes by two as the source crosses a caustic (Chang 1979), producing the light curve spikes (Mao 1991, Dominik 1991).

Whenever the source crosses a caustic, a pair of images appears or vanishes depending on whether the source is moving into or out of a region bounded by caustic curves (Mao 1991).

When the source intersects a caustic line, two images merge at a critical curve, therefore the total number of images changes by two as the source crosses a caustic (Chang 1979), producing the light curve spikes (Mao 1991, Dominik 1991).

In the single lens case, when the source hits the caustic point, a full Einstein ring appears at the critical curve.

The size of the caustic curves, relative to the Einstein ring, changes according to the mass ratio between the two lens components and their position in the lens plane.



 $a_p > R_E$

Note the separation of the single caustic curve into two distinct curves.

Note the separation of the single caustic curve into two distinct curves. The two curves are named the central and planetary caustics.

Note the separation of the single caustic curve into two distinct curves.

The two curves are named the central and planetary caustics.

The curves are generated with a lens system whose planet is external to the Einstein ring of the primary lens mass (i.e. $a_p = (x_p^2 + y_p^2)^{0.5} > R_E$).

Note the separation of the single caustic curve into two distinct curves.

The two curves are named the central and planetary caustics.

The curves are generated with a lens system whose planet is external to the Einstein ring of the primary lens mass (i.e. $a_p = (x_p^2 + y_p^2)^{0.5} > R_E$). The next plot shows the critical and caustic curves for a planet which is positioned inside the Einstein ring of the primary mass, $a_p < R_E$.



 $a_p < R_E$





Relative motion of source, observer and lens gives time-dependent amplification of source. t_E varies, typical timescale $t_E \simeq 20$ days.



Presence of a planet orbiting the lens system can produce a perturbation in the light curve.



Presence of a planet orbiting the lens system can produce a perturbation in the light curve.



Presence of a planet orbiting the lens system can produce a perturbation in the light curve.



The features of the planetary perturbation depends on the mass of the planet relative to the mass of the lens star, its position angle, and orbit radius.



These signals can be quite large, even for low-mass planets.

We can envisage several planetary microlensing scenarios:

We can envisage several planetary microlensing scenarios:

• The source star passes close to, or through, a planetary caustic (Mao & Paczynski, 1991),

We can envisage several planetary microlensing scenarios:

- The source star passes close to, or through, a planetary caustic (Mao & Paczynski, 1991),
- The source star passes close to, or through, the central caustic, (Griest & Safizadeh, 1998)

We can envisage several planetary microlensing scenarios:

- The source star passes close to, or through, a planetary caustic (Mao & Paczynski, 1991),
- The source star passes close to, or through, the central caustic, (Griest & Safizadeh, 1998)
- Or the source star passes close to, or through both caustics.



- Planetary caustic:
 - Is bigger than central caustic. Size scales as $q^{1/2}$ (Han, 2006)
 - Randomly located in source plane.

- Planetary caustic:
 - Is bigger than central caustic. Size scales as $q^{1/2}$ (Han, 2006)
 - Randomly located in source plane.
- Central caustic:
 - Small caustic: Size scales as q (Chung, 2005)
 - Always near centre of mass (i. e. central)

- Planetary caustic:
 - Is bigger than central caustic. Size scales as $q^{1/2}$ (Han, 2006)
 - Randomly located in source plane.
- Central caustic:
 - Small caustic: Size scales as q (Chung, 2005)
 - Always near centre of mass (i. e. central)

The properties of these two types of planetary microlensing events have implications for how to conduct the search planets via microlensing.





Future – p.19/29

Caustic crossing light curves show a rich variety, with dramatic changes in amplification corresponding to the source star crossing or passing close to a caustic line or cusp. The light curves for an event where the source crosses a planetary caustic are similar.

Caustic crossing light curves show a rich variety, with dramatic changes in amplification corresponding to the source star crossing or passing close to a caustic line or cusp. The light curves for an event where the source crosses a planetary caustic are similar. The light curve deviations due to a source star passing close or through the central caustic are also varied, but due to the relatively small size of the central caustic, the deviations in the light curve will be most likely due to the source star passing close to a cusp of the central caustic curve. Source size effects are usually important.

Since the minimum impact parameter is small in a central caustic approach or transit, the maximum amplification of the source star is large and because the source star is passing close to the central caustic, the perturbations will occur near the peak of the light curve.

Since the minimum impact parameter is small in a central caustic approach or transit, the maximum amplification of the source star is large and because the source star is passing close to the central caustic, the perturbations will occur near the peak of the light curve.

Compare this to planetary caustic crossing events which occur whenever the source happens to pass through a planetary caustic. The large excursions from the single lens light curve occur at essentially any time during the microlensing event, corresponding to where the planetary caustic lies in the source plane.




Similarly, deviations from a single lens curve around the peak of high amplification events, due to an approach to or transit of a central caustic are usually perturbative, i.e. small.

Similarly, deviations from a single lens curve around the peak of high amplification events, due to an approach to or transit of a central caustic are usually perturbative, i.e. small.

In contrast, the strength of deviations due to planetary caustic approach or transit is relatively high.

Similarly, deviations from a single lens curve around the peak of high amplification events, due to an approach to or transit of a central caustic are usually perturbative, i.e. small.

In contrast, the strength of deviations due to planetary caustic approach or transit is relatively high.

In the case of peak perturbations in high amplification events, the signals can sometimes be treated as linear summations (Rattenbury, 2002).

Similarly, deviations from a single lens curve around the peak of high amplification events, due to an approach to or transit of a central caustic are usually perturbative, i.e. small.

In contrast, the strength of deviations due to planetary caustic approach or transit is relatively high.

In the case of peak perturbations in high amplification events, the signals can sometimes be treated as linear summations (Rattenbury, 2002).

This linear treatment is not applicable for caustic crossing events of any type.

Technique	Advantages	Disadvantages
Planetary caustic crossing events	 Low amplification events are more common Caustic crossing perturbations are large 	 Requires moderate sampling over longer periods Caustic crossing events are rare

Technique	Advantages	Disadvantages
Peak perturbations in high amplification events	 Perturbations occur in well defined time interval Planets are detected with higher efficiency Sensitive to giant planets at almost any orbital radius 	 Perturbations are relatively small High amplification events are rare Sensitive to terrestinal planets near the Einstein ring only

These two different types of planetary microlensing events require different observation strategies. We will look at this in Lecture 5.

These two different types of planetary microlensing events require different observation strategies. We will look at this in Lecture 5.

Determining the detection efficiency of any given observational programme for detecting planets via microlensing requires a lot of information about instrumentation and site characteristics, observation frequency, photometric performance etc.

These two different types of planetary microlensing events require different observation strategies. We will look at this in Lecture 5.

Determining the detection efficiency of any given observational programme for detecting planets via microlensing requires a lot of information about instrumentation and site characteristics, observation frequency, photometric performance etc.

In general however, microlensing is most efficient at detecting planets within an annulus around R_E . Park et al (2006) gives a lensing zone $0.6R_E \leq d \leq 1.6R_E$.

The complete dataset is comprised of 183 OGLE and 1092 MOA *I* band measurements over the 2000-2003 period.

The complete dataset is comprised of 183 OGLE and 1092 MOA *I* band measurements over the 2000-2003 period.

A clear caustic crossing signature is seen in the data.

The complete dataset is comprised of 183 OGLE and 1092 MOA *I* band measurements over the 2000-2003 period.

A clear caustic crossing signature is seen in the data. Several classes models were used to fit the data; an early caustic crossing model (green/dotted), a non-planetary model, i.e. q > 0.03 (magenta/dashed) and the best-fitting model (black/solid). The best-fitting single lens model is also shown (cyan/long dash).

Following figure reprinted from Bond et al (2004), courtesy D. Bennett. See also Bennett et al (2006).



A high amplification event, with a very small perturbation near the peak.

A high amplification event, with a very small perturbation near the peak.

The maximum amplification for this event reached $A_{\rm max} \simeq 800$.

A high amplification event, with a very small perturbation near the peak.

The maximum amplification for this event reached $A_{\rm max} \simeq 800$.

Many observations were obtained around the peak, and a small but significant deviation from a single lens model was detected which showed the characteristics of a planetary perturbation.

A high amplification event, with a very small perturbation near the peak.

The maximum amplification for this event reached $A_{\rm max} \simeq 800$.

Many observations were obtained around the peak, and a small but significant deviation from a single lens model was detected which showed the characteristics of a planetary perturbation.

Subsequent analysis showed that the light curve was best modelled assuming a planet with mass ratio $q = 8^{+2}_{-3} \times 10^{-5}$ and separation $d = 1.00 \pm 0.02 (3\sigma)$.

A high amplification event, with a very small perturbation near the peak.

The maximum amplification for this event reached $A_{\rm max} \simeq 800$.

Many observations were obtained around the peak, and a small but significant deviation from a single lens model was detected which showed the characteristics of a planetary perturbation.

Subsequent analysis showed that the light curve was best modelled assuming a planet with mass ratio $q = 8^{+2}_{-3} \times 10^{-5}$ and separation $d = 1.00 \pm 0.02$ (3σ). See Gould et al (2006).



More later

These and other events are described in more detail in Rattenbury et al (2006).

and in later lectures.

Latest results will be presented as the proceedings of The Manchester Microlensing Conference 2008.